



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

of a remedy for every wrong does not depend so much upon statutory expression as upon the use by judges of their full power to do justice under broad and universally admitted principles of right and wrong." This thesis gives Mr. Hendrick's book a somewhat unique character and makes the treatise an important one not only to students of common law, but also to those who are particularly interested in the question of the relation of the nation and state—a question that has become much more prominent since Mr. Hendrick's book appeared two years ago than it was at the time the volume was being written.

Many of the author's statements would seem to indicate that he was really debating the question of the paramountcy of the nation as contrasted with the state, although that specific question was doubtless not in the author's mind. For instance, in speaking of the question of monopoly and corporate control, he says: "To these evils attention must be directed with a national view. As to commerce the nation is one. And commerce is non-political." He also states the following in the closing paragraph of his volume:

"Not only as to disputes arising in the broad domain of interstate commerce, but as to those arising under the conditions created by the growth of combinations, corporations, monopolies, the expansion of domestic trade, and the extension of the scope and use of public callings, the nation is and should be really one in the treatment of a question which was not only, in its original form, itself the cause and the occasion of the existence of the United States of America, but has given in its not altogether regrettable development, a new and broader meaning to the common law, as the common law of the United States."

These brief quotations from the thorough treatise by Mr. Hendrick will indicate both the character and the scope of the work and the scholarly treatment the author has given the subject under discussion.

EMORY R. JOHNSON.

University of Pennsylvania.

Holmes, T. R. *Ancient Britain and the Invasions of Julius Caesar.* Pp. xvi, 764. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1907.

Very interesting is the attempt to reconstruct the early years of a great nation, particularly when done in an attractive literary style. The author devotes the first 373 pages to telling what is known of the people of the stone, bronze and iron ages, together with a good description of Caesar's invasions and their results. The text is supplemented by many illustrations. In the latter part of the volume many mooted questions of place, chronology, races and persons are taken up and the evidence carefully reviewed. The volume is very readable, yet done in a scholarly fashion and is to be commended to all who wish to know what it is now possible to know of what may almost be called pre-historic Britain.

CARL KELSEY.

University of Pennsylvania.